PLANNING COMMITTEE - 20.4.2021

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY, 20 APRIL 2021

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT Maria Alexandrou, Kate Anolue, Mahym Bedekova, Sinan

Boztas, Elif Erbil, Michael Rye OBE, Jim Steven, Hass Yusuf, Susan Erbil, Doug Taylor, Daniel Anderson, Alessandro

Georgiou and Andy Milne

ABSENT Ahmet Hasan

OFFICERS: Andy Higham (Head of Development Management), Dominic

Millen (Group Leader Transportation), David Gittens (Planning Decisions Manager), Allison de Marco (Planning Decisions Manager - Strategic Applications) and Catriona McFarlane (Legal Representative) Jane Creer (Secretary) and Metin Halil

(Secretary)

Also Attending: Members of the public, applicant and agent representatives

were able to observe the meeting live online.

1 WELCOME AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

NOTED

- 1. Councillor Boztas (Chair) welcomed all attendees to the meeting, which was being broadcast live online. Committee members confirmed their presence and that they were able to hear and see the proceedings.
- 2. Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Ahmet Hasan.

2 DECLARATION OF INTEREST

NOTED there were no declarations of interest.

3 MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETINGS HELD ON THURSDAY 11 MARCH AND TUESDAY 23 MARCH 2021

NOTED

The minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on Thursday 11 March 2021 and Tuesday 23 March 2021 were agreed.

4 REPORT OF THE HEAD OF PLANNING

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 20.4.2021

RECEIVED the report of the Head of Planning.

5 19/03566/FUL - 66 KINGWELL ROAD, ENFIELD NORTH, BARNET, EN4 0HY

NOTED

- 1. The introduction by David Gittens, Planning Decisions Manager, clarifying the proposals.
- 2. The statement of Councillor Alessandro Georgiou, Cockfosters Ward Councillor.
- 3. The response of Peter Koumis (Agent) on behalf of the applicant.
- 4. Members' debate and questions responded to by Officers'.
- 5. Members' concerns regarding overdevelopment, the design of the development not in keeping with the character of the area, loss of trees, parking on the site and inappropriate housing for the borough.
- 6. Members' request for inclusion of a condition to replace trees removed with 2 for 1 planting within the application site.
- 7. The support of the majority of the committee for the Officers' recommendation with 6 votes for and 5 against.

AGREED that planning permission be Granted subject to conditions.

6 21/00068/FUL - 124 OLD PARK RIDINGS, LONDON, N21 2EP

NOTED

- 1. The introduction by David Gittens, Planning Decisions Manager, clarifying the proposals.
- 2. The deputation of Toby Clarke (Neighbouring Resident) against the Officers' recommendation.
- 3. The deputation of Michael Donegan (Neighbouring Resident) against the Officers' recommendation.
- 4. The statement of Councillor Andy Milne, Grange Ward Councillor
- 5. The response of Simon Warner (Agent).
- 6. Members' debate and questions responded to by Officers'.
- 7. The majority of the committee did not support the officers' recommendation: 1 vote for, 8 against and 2 abstentions.
- 8. Members' discussion of appropriate reasons for refusal of planning permission.
- 9. A motion proposed by Councillor Rye, seconded by Councillor Taylor, that planning permission be refused for the following reasons:
 - Notwithstanding the requirements of the NPPF and the need to have regard to the presumption in favour of sustainable development, it is considered the proposed development, involving the creation of three flats, by reason of the intensity of occupation of the site and the original plot of which it once formed a part, would constitute an

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 20.4.2021

overdevelopment of the site that would be out of keeping with, and would harm the established residential character of the area, contrary to Policies 7.4 and 7.6 of the London Plan (2016), Policy CP20 of the Enfield Core Strategy (2010) and Policies DMD5 and DMD37 of the Enfield Development Management Document (2014) and the Enfield Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2015).

 The proposed development, by virtue of insufficient provision of private amenity space would result in sub-standard accommodation and be harmful to the amenities of future occupiers, contrary to Policy 3.5 of the London Plan 2016, Policies CP4 and CP30 of the Enfield Core Strategy (2010), and Policy DMD8 of the Enfield Development Management Document (2014).

was unanimously supported by the committee.

AGREED that planning permission be refused for the reasons given above.

7 FUTURE MEETING DATES

NOTED

The next meeting of the Planning Committee will be:

4 May 2021 – Provisional (to be confirmed).